

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/717,929	JOSAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Scott T Baderman	2113	

**All Participants:**

(1) Scott T Baderman.

(2) Nathan Cass (Reg. # 18,931).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Claims 1-14 under USC 102(e) in view of Vert et al. (2001/0008019).*

Claims discussed:

*1, 2, 8 and 9*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Vert et al. (2001/0008019)*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed that claims 1 and 8 be amended to include the limitations of claims 2 and 9, respectively, in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Cass approved this amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)